

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/972,956 | 10/10/2001 | Xuehai Ye | 64688/152 | 6226 |
| 7. | 590 10/01/2003 | 4 | EXAM | INER |
| Law Offices of Dr. Melvin Blecher | | i . | ANGELL, JON E | |
| 4329 Van Ness Washington, D | St., NW OC 20016-5625 | A control of the cont | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1635 | 12 |
| | | 4.3 | DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/972,956 | YE ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | J. Eric Angell | 1635 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which | ition. A proper reply n places the applica | y to a ition in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final | opriate extension Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | S. | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed | amendment | | | |
| 5.★ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>see</u> | | dered but does NO | Γ place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | enewly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | ınd an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | J. Eric Angell | | | | |

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants arguments rely on references submitted with an after final IDS (PTO-1449). However, the information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 8/19/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). Furthermore, the IDS filed 8/19/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). The has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Additionally, Applicants have not cancelled non-elected claim 17 as required and noted in the previous Office Action. Applicants are reminded that all non-elected claims must be cancelled in order for an after final reply to be considered responsive. It is noted that the Applicants indicated that claim 17 was "withdrawn" in the communication filed 8/19/2003, however "withdrawn" does not indicate that the claim is cancelled. Cancellation of non-elected claim 17 is required in order for any after final reply to be considered responsive. For these reasons, applicants after final reply is not considered persuasive and the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record.

DAVET. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER